EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER DOCKET NO.: 2008-1450-AIR-E TCEQ ID: RN105566525 CASE NO.: 36494

RESPONDENT NAME: SAM CICALO

ODDED TVDE.						
ORDER TYPE:		7				
X 1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING				
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER				
AMENDED ORDEREMERGENCY ORDER						
CASE TYPE:						
_XAIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE				
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PUBLIC WATER SUPPLYPETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS					
WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL				
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTERADIOACTIVE WASTE					
INTERESTED PARTIES: The complainant ED and the Respondent expressed an interest COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Region CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney: Ms. Laurencia Famor Ms. Lena Robert TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: TCEQ Regional Contact: Ms. Alys Respondent: Mr. Sam Cicalo, P.O.	oning sandblasting operation. complaint was received, alleging that the Responsitional pending enforcement actions regarding that a not indicated that he wishes to protest this a	No comments were received. 22-8914 9 2219, (512) 239-5363				

RESPONDENT NAME: SAM CICALO DOCKET NO.: 2008-1450-AIR-E

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
Type of Investigation:	Total Assessed: \$1,000	Corrective Action Taken:
_X Complaint Routine Enforcement Follow-up Records Review	Total Deferred: \$0 Expedited Order Financial Inability to Pay SEP Conditional Offset	On October 22, 2008, the Respondent utilized the expertise of the Small Business & Local Government Assistance Program and submitted a Form PI-7 for the sandblasting activities at the Site.
Date of Complaint Relating to this Case: May 13, 2008	Total Paid/Due to General Revenue: \$100/\$900	Ordering Provisions:
Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: May 14, 2008 Date of NOE Relating to this Case: August 28, 2008 Background Facts: The EDPRP was filed on February 5, 2009. The agreed order was signed on March 31, 2009. Current Compliance Status: Not yet in compliance. AIR: Failed to obtain authorization prior to conducting a dry abrasive cleaning sandblasting operation [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 106.452(2)(D) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)].	The Respondent paid \$100 of the administrative penalty. The remaining amount of \$900 shall be payable in nine (9) monthly payments of \$100 each. Site Compliance History Classification High X Average Poor Person Compliance History Classification High X Average Poor Major Source: Yes X No Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002	Ordering Provisions: The Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements: 1. Respond completely and adequately to all requests for information concerning the permit application within 30 days after the date of such requests, or by any other deadline specified in writing. 2. Within 30 days, submit written certification that either authorization to construct and operate a source of air emissions has been obtained or that construction/operation has ceased until such time that appropriate authorization is obtained.
		·

and the state of t	Pe	nalty Ca	lculatio	n Works	sheet (F		
Policy Revision 2 (Sep	tember 2002)					PC	W Revision June 12, 2008
DATES Assigned PCW		Screening	9-Sep-2008	EPA Due			
RESPONDENT/FACILITY Respondent	Sam Cicalo	I. 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18		10 A. Profession A. P.			
Reg. Ent. Ref. No. Facility/Site Region		orth		Major/	Minor Source	eMinor	
Media Program(s) Multi-Media	2008-1450-AIR Air			Governme Enf		e 1660	
Admin. Penalty \$	Limit Winimum	\$0 M	aximum	\$10,000			
			and the same	ation Sec	tion	and the second s	
TOTAL BASE PENAL	_TY (Sum of	violation ba	se penalti	es)		Subtotal 1	\$1,000
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) Subtotals 2-7 are obtai Compliance Hist	ned by multiplying th		with the total or the contract of	y the indicated per Enhancement		totals 2, 3, & 7	\$0
Notes	No enhan	cement is recor	nmended du lassification	e to Average F	Performer		·
Culpability	No		0.0%	Enhancement		Subtotal 4	\$0
Notes	The Ro	espondent does	s not meet th	e culpability cr	iteria.		
Good Faith Effor	t to Comply To	al Adjustment	S			Subtotal 5	
Economic Benefi Approx.	it Total EB Amounts Cost of Compliance	\$19 \$500		Enhancement* d at the Total EB \$	Amount	Subtotal 6	\$0
SUM OF SUBTOTAL	S 1-7					Final Subtotal	\$1,000
OTHER FACTORS AS Reduces or enhances the Final S			E	0.0%		Adjustment	\$0
Notes					•		Canada and Area and A
					Final Pe	nalty Amount	\$1,000
STATUTORY LIMIT A	DJUSTMENT		i yili gaza sendi. Siliyam sendi. Te		Final Asse	essed Penalty	\$1,000
DEFERRAL Reduces the Final Assessed Pen	alty by the indicted p	ercentage. (Enter	number only; e.	0.0% g. 20 for 20% redu	Reduction ction.)	Adjustment	\$0
Notes	Defe	rral not offered	for non-expe	edited settleme	nt.		
PAYABLE PENALTY							\$1,000

773.45

Screening Date 9-Sep-2008

Docket No. 2008-1450-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Sam Cicalo

Case ID No. 36494

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision June 12, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN105566525
Media [Statute] Air

		Compliance History Worksheet			
Comp	oliance History Component	Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)	Enter Number Here	Adjust.	
	NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%	
		Other written NOVs	0	0%	1
		Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%	
Orders		Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denia of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission		0%	
	Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)		0%	
	and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final cour judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federa government		0%	
	Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%	
	Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%	
	Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		0%	
:		Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%	- III III
		Plea	se Enter Yes or No		
		Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%	
	Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%	
	Other	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%	
	,	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%	
		Adjustment P	ercentage (Su	btotal 2)	0%
Repea	at Violator (Su	btotal 3) The state of the second of the state of the sta		ar (17%)	
	No	Adjustment P	Percentage (Su	btotal 3)	0%
Comp	oliance History	Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		8884	ru - Na
	Average Po	erformer Adjustment P	ercentage (Su	btotal 7)	0%
Comp	oliance History	Summary			1. 1.0
	Compliance History Notes	No enhancement is recommended due to Average Performer classification.			

Screening Date	9-Sep-2008	Docket No. 2008-1450-AIR-E	[PCW
Respondent		Policy R	Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.		P	PCW Revision June 12, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No.			:
Media [Statute]			
Enf. Coordinator			
Violation Number	1 1		1
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin	o. Code § 106.452(2)(D) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)	
Violation Description		authorization prior to conducting a dry abrasive sandblasting cleaning fically, the Respondent failed to submit a PI-7 form prior to commencing operations.	·
The state of the s		Base Penalty	\$10,000
>> Environmental, Property ar			
Release		Harm loderate Minor	
OR Actual		ioderate willion	
Potential		Percent 0%	
	[
>>Programmatic Matrix			
Falsification	7	loderate Minor	
	× _	Percent 10%	
			1
Matrix Matrix	10	0% of the rule requirement was not met.	
Notes		o wo the fulle requirement was not met.	and the same of th
		Adjustment \$9,000	
Drighter (Liver of Austronomina (Barier) and Like (Barier) special and a supplementation and in the control of	a age a viety. Deep a submission to the later dis-	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	
			. \$1,000
Violation Events			
Number of Vi	iolation Events	1 40 Number of violation days	
Traines of the	Little Little	- Total of the sale of the sal	
	dailý		
The province	@monthly		
mark only one	quarterly	X Violation Base Penalty	\$1,000
with an x	semiannual		
	annual		
	single event		
One quarterly	/ event is recomme	nded from the investigation end date of July 31, 2008 to the screening	
		date of September 9, 2008	
The second secon	an, ng manny samanharananan m		
Good Faith Efforts to Comply		0.0% Reduction	\$0
	Extraordinary	offore NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
	· · · · · ·		-
	Ordinary N/A	X (mark with x)	
	14/7	A (Their with A)	
	Notes Th	ne Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this	
	7.5.55	violation.	.
	<u> </u>		
		Violation Subtotal	\$1,000
			en mar area esta esta esta esta esta esta esta es
Economic Benefit (EB) for this	violation	Statutory Limit Test	
Fstimate	d EB Amount	\$19 Violation Final Penalty Total	\$1,000
		-	
		This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	\$1,000
Free SEEGENEER BUILDINGS			CATEFORNIA IN LAFE

Respondent							
Case ID No.	. 36494						
Reg. Ent. Reference No.							
Media							Years of
Violation No.						Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation		e en originale de la companya de la	STATESTING SERVICE	3,1234.3	ning panggalangga	[14] A.SWARA	
있는 그림 수 있는 이번에 이번만				1 22 44		5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
ing and the second control of the second con			garage and a second			were the second	
Delayed Costs		1	1	7 000			•
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
Engineering/construction Land			<u> </u>	0.00	\$0	⇒υ Literation/a	\$0 \$0
Record Keeping System		<u> </u>		0.00	\$0	n/a -n/a	\$0 \$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	in/a Ni sa	\$0
Permit Costs	\$500	31-Jul-2008	1-May-2009	0.75	\$19	in/a in/a	\$19
7 0111111 00010							
Other (as needed)	The approxima			0.00 omitting a	\$0 a PI-7 form. The D	Date Required is the	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs		ite cost to obtain a investiga	uthorization by sub tion. The Final Da	omitting a te is the	a PI-7 form. The I	Date Required is the compliance.	\$0 end date of the
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs		ite cost to obtain a investiga	uthorization by sub tion. The Final Da	omitting a te is the intering	a PI-7 form. The I projected date of item (except for	Date Required is the compliance.	\$0 end date of the osts)
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal		ite cost to obtain a investiga	uthorization by sub tion. The Final Da	omitting a te is the ntering	a PI-7 form. The I projected date of item (except for \$0	Date Required is the compliance.	\$0 end date of the osts)
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel		ite cost to obtain a investiga	uthorization by sub tion. The Final Da	entering 0.00 0.00	a PI-7 form. The I projected date of (item (except for \$0 \$0	Date Required is the compliance. One-time avoided c \$0 \$0	\$0 end date of the osts) \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling		ite cost to obtain a investiga	uthorization by sub tion. The Final Da	entering 0.00 0.00 0.00	a PI-7 form. The I projected date of (item (except for \$0 \$0	Date Required is the compliance. one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 end date of the osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment		ite cost to obtain a investiga	uthorization by sub tion. The Final Da	omitting a te is the straing 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	a PI-7 form. The I projected date of a item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0	Date Required is the compliance. one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 end date of the osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]		ite cost to obtain a investiga	uthorization by sub tion. The Final Da	mitting a te is the mtering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	a PI-7 form. The I projected date of litem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Date Required is the compliance. So S	\$0 end date of the osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]		ite cost to obtain a investiga	uthorization by sub tion. The Final Da	mitting a te is the mtering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	a PI-7 form. The I projected date of a litem (except for a so	Date Required is the compliance. Description of the compliance of	\$0 end date of the osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]		ite cost to obtain a investiga	uthorization by sub tion. The Final Da	mitting a te is the mtering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	a PI-7 form. The I projected date of litem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Date Required is the compliance. So S	\$0 end date of the osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]		ite cost to obtain a investiga	uthorization by sub tion. The Final Da	mitting a te is the mtering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	a PI-7 form. The I projected date of a litem (except for a so	Date Required is the compliance. Description of the compliance of	\$0 end date of the osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)		ite cost to obtain a investiga	uthorization by sub tion. The Final Da	mitting a te is the streng 0.00	a PI-7 form. The I projected date of a litem (except for a so	Date Required is the compliance. Description of the compliance of	\$0 end date of the osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)		ite cost to obtain a investiga	uthorization by sub tion. The Final Da	mitting a te is the streng 0.00	a PI-7 form. The I projected date of a litem (except for a so	Date Required is the compliance. Description of the compliance of	\$0 end date of the osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Compliance History

CN602752933 CICALO, SAM Classification: AVERAGE Rating: 2.00 Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: Classification: AVERAGE BY RN105566525 SAM CICALO PROPERTY Site Rating: 3.01 Regulated Entity: **DEFAULT** ID Number(s): Rating Date: 9/1/2008 Repeat Violator: NO 488 COUNTY ROAD 3737, WISE COUNTY, Location: **TEXAS REGION 04 - DFW METROPLEX** TCEQ Region: September 09, 2008 Date Compliance History Prepared: Enforcement Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Compliance Period: September 09, 2003 to September 09, 2008 TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History Name: J. Craig Fleming Phone: (5120 239-5806 Site Compliance History Components 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? Yes 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? No N/A 3. If Yes, who is the current owner? 4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? N/A N/A 5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? Components (Multimedia) for the Site: Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government. N/A Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government. В. Chronic excessive emissions events. C. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) D. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) E. N/A Environmental audits. F. N/A G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs). Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates. H. N/A Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program. J. Early compliance.

N/A Sites Outside of Texas N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN ENFORCEMENT ACTION CONCERNING SAM CICALO RN105566525 ത ത ത ത ത

BEFORE THE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2008-1450-AIR-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Sam Cicalo ("Mr. Cicalo") under the authority of Tex. Water Code ch. 7 and Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 382. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, represented by the Litigation Division, and Mr. Cicalo appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. Mr. Cicalo owns and operates a dry abrasive cleaning sandblasting operation at 488 County Road 3737, Wise County, Texas (the "Site").
- 2. This Agreed Order is entered into pursuant to Tex. WATER CODE §§ 7.051 and 7.070. The Commission has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to Tex. WATER CODE § 5.013 because it alleges violations of Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 382 and TCEQ rules.
- 3. The Commission and Mr. Cicalo agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that Mr. Cicalo is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. Mr. Cicalo received notice of the violation on or about September 2, 2008.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by Mr. Cicalo of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). Mr. Cicalo paid one hundred dollars (\$100.00) of the administrative penalty. The remaining amount of nine hundred dollars (\$900.00) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in nine monthly payments of one hundred dollars (\$100.00) each, pursuant to 30 Tex. Admin.

CODE § 70.9(a). The first monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment. If Mr. Cicalo fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may, at his option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, Mr. Cicalo's failure to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by Mr. Cicalo to timely and satisfactorily comply with all of the terms of this Agreed Order.

- 7. Any notice and procedures which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and Mr. Cicalo agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that on October 22, 2008, Mr. Cicalo utilized the expertise of the Small Business & Local Government Assistance Program and submitted a Form PI-7 for the sandblasting activities at the Site.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that Mr. Cicalo has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

During an investigation conducted on May 14, 2008, a TCEQ Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office investigator documented that Mr. Cicalo violated 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 106.452(2)(D) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b) by failing to obtain authorization prior to conducting a dry abrasive cleaning sandblasting operation. Specifically, Mr. Cicalo failed to submit a PI-7 form prior to commencing operations.

III. DENIALS

Mr. Cicalo generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that Mr. Cicalo pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and Mr. Cicalo's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from considering or requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Sam Cicalo, Docket No. 2008-1450 AIR-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. Mr. Cicalo shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Respond completely and adequately, as determined by the TCEQ, to all requests for information concerning the permit application within 30 days after the date of such requests, or by any other deadline specified in writing; and
 - b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification that either authorization to construct and operate a source of air emissions has been obtained or that construction/operation has ceased until such time that appropriate authorization is obtained. The certification shall include detailed supporting documentation including receipts and/or other records to demonstrate compliance. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of

Sam Cicalo Docket No. 2008-1450-AIR-E Page 4

fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Air Section, Manager Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 2309 Gravel Drive Fort Worth, Texas 76118-6951

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon Mr. Cicalo. Mr. Cicalo is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Site operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If Mr. Cicalo fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Mr. Cicalo's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. Mr. Cicalo shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Mr. Cicalo shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Mr. Cicalo becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Mr. Cicalo shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Mr. Cicalo receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against Mr. Cicalo in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.

Sam Cicalo Docket No. 2008-1450-AIR-E Page 5

- 7. This Agreed Order may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreed Order may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b) and Tex. Gov't Code § 2001.142, the effective date of this Agreed Order is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to Mr. Cicalo, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to Mr. Cicalo, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

Sam Cicalo Docket No. 2008-1450-AIR-E Page 6

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
16/10 00 100	Perlan

For the Executive Director

617/2009

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I represent that I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity, if any, indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that my failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or my failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on Mr. Cicalo's compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted by Mr. Cicalo;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions against Mr. Cicalo;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions against Mr. Cicalo; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

Signature

Name (Printed or typed)

Authorized representative of

Sam Cicalo

Title